

Morality for the Masses

To kill or not to kill? By merely asking the question, we indirectly recognize that the action of removing life is improper and could be condemnable. Since though we are the brilliant species referred to as humanity we devised the notion of good and bad in order to be able to respond to the aforementioned fundamental question. As expected the response is, "To kill, if what you kill is bad". The political and spiritual establishment proceeded accordingly to put together a stupendous rule guide (moral guide) in which everything could be good or bad (depending on the occasion and the profit). The masses have no access to the guide but eagerly await moral commands. Humans detest simplicity since it can be rather inconvenient. The complexity of the sinister moral guide allows humans to bypass cumbersome moral nuisance. Once a group of humans is convinced that they are good, accusations of the opposite are deemed absurd and their work becomes opus dei (reference to no particular God or Gods, pick any that you find appealing).

We have indirectly but purposefully created an environment of moral confusion. I have no other choice but to at least make an attempt to resolve the confusion. So here is my response, in a format that is easy to remember: "To kill is wrong! No exceptions! Absolutely no exceptions".

Fivos R Drymiotis © 2006